REMARKS

In the above-mentioned, Office Action, all of the pending claims, claims 1-3 and 7-9, were rejected. Claims 1-3 were rejected under Section 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description. Claim 7 was rejected under Section 112, first paragraph, for lack of enablement. Claims 1-3 and 7 were rejected under Section 103(a) over a 3GPP document and Tohono. And, claims 8-9 were rejected over the combination of the 3Gpp document, Tohono, and Laitinen.

With respect to the Section 112, first paragraph, rejection of claims 1-3, claim 1 has been amended to clarify the claim recitation. As now amended, the claims refer to receiving a SIB relating to measurement information of type 12 (SIB 12) which relates to connected mode and receiving a SIB relating to measurement information of type 11(SIB 11) which relates to idle and connected mode, removed. Applicants assert that, as amended, the claim conforms to Section 112, first paragraph.

With respect to the Section 112, first paragraph, rejection of claim 7, the claim has also been amended to clarify the claim recitation. Specifically, claim 7 has been clarified to specify that there is receiving of a system information of type SIB 12 and of a system information of type SIB 11; addressing this objection.

Responsive to the substantive rejections of the claims, the independent claims, claims 1 and 7, have been amended in manners, as set forth herein, believed better to distinguish the invention of the present application over the cited combinations of references used against the claims.

With respect to independent claim 1, the claim has been amended, now to state that

determining after receipt of a SIB 12 and SIB 11 (emphasis added), if a same IE type is

included in each of the SIB 11 and the SIB 12; and thereafter:

responding to a determination that the same IE type

is included in only one of the SIBs by applying an IE from

the associated one of the SIBs; and

responding to a determination that the same IE type

is included by acting upon the system information

associated with the identified same IE types according to the

predefined order.

In the rejection of claim 1, the Examiner acknowledge that the 3GPP document

does not provide a predefined order for applying system information associated with SIB

information elements but relied upon Tohono for disclosing a predefined order for

applying system information with SIB information elements. The Examiner specifically

relied upon Tohono for showing the predefined order being to act of system information

associated with an IE in an SIB of type 11 and then upon system information associated

with a same type of IE in an SIB of type 12. Claim 7 was rejected based upon a

corresponding rationale.

The Examiner further stated that it is well know that a cell information list

corresponding to the active cell reads on SIB 11 and that a cell information list

corresponding to handover destination candidate cell reads on SIB 12.

6

The claims, as amended, state that SIB 11 relates to both the idle and connected modes. And, the active, candidate, and hand-over cells cannot, therefore, correspond to SIB 11 and/or SIB 12. The amended claims therefore recite a method in which the SIB 11 and SIB 12 are different than the Examiner's asserted equivalency.

The Applicants further note that, although the Examiner relied upon paragraphs 56-59 of Tohono, there is no mention in this cited portion, nor elsewhere, in Tohono of system information relating to SIB 11 or SIB 12 nor any correspondence between SIB 11 and SIB 12, nor of the idle and connected mode, nor of the connected mode.

Additionally, the Applicants further note that the claims state that the SIB relates to measurement information of type 11 and type 12. This differs with the mere reference in Tohono to active and candidate cells

Review of Tohono indicates that the reference is concerned with controlling search timing using a cell search method. See paragraphs [0013] and [0015] of Tohono. And, paragraph [0056], specifically relied upon by the Examiner in the rejection, indicates in its step one that a search of the active cell is performed and that the reception level is updated.

Tohono fails to disclose receiving SIB 12, and SIB 11, and as now-recited, then determining whether a same IE type is included in each of the SIB 11 and SIB 12, nor thereafter, as now recited, of acting upon the system information associated with SIB 11 then SIB 12 when it is determined that the same IE type is included.

The recited invention, in contrast to Tohono, would operate prior to searching of a candidate cell, described in paragraph [0057] of Tohono, or an undetected cell, described in paragraph [0059] of Tohono. That is to say, there is acting upon the information

associated with what the Examiner identifies to be SIB 11 in Tohono, even before the SIB

12 has been received, or before there has been any determination of whether the same IE

type is included. That is there is no suggestion in Tohono of determining after receipt of a

SIB 12 and SIB 11 (emphasis added), if a same IE type is included in each of the SIB 11

and the SIB 12; and thereafter:

responding to a determination that the same IE type

is included in only one of the SIBs by applying an IE from

the associated one of the SIBs; and

responding to a determination that the same IE type

is included by acting upon the system information

associated with the identified same IE types according to the

predefined order.

Indeed, whilst the Examiner may suggest that Tohono relates to application of

information in a defined order, there is simply no suggestion in Tohono of a response to a

determination (the determination itself taking pace after SIB 11 and SIB12 have been

received) that the same IE is included in both received SIBs.

Therefore, the Applicants assert that Tohono fails to disclose receipt of both SIB 11

and SIB first, let alone of SIB 12 then SIB 11 nor of any determination thereafter whether

the same IE type is included in each, or of after both SIBs have been received, applying the

information associated with the identified same IEs in a predefined order.

8

Figure 3 of Tohono illustrates this distinction. Figure 3 shows execution of paging channel receiving cell prior to detection of a candidate cell. And, Figure 4 of Tohono

illustrates updating of reception level and radio timing, without any suggestion of a method in which SIB 12 and then SIB 11 are both received first, before later determining if the

same IE type is included, and acting upon the system information associated with the

identified same IE types according to a predefined order.

Further, paragraph [0049] of Tohono refers to an aim of Tohono to provide for easily-adjustable cell detection ability of detection time. This disclosure directs away from the recited invention, which provides for a method in which, when SIB 12 and then SIB 11 are received, there is then a determination of a same IE, and if so, application in a defined order.

Neither the 3GPP document nor Laitinen, cited in combination to reject dependent claims 8-9, were recited for disclosing these features. And, neither of these references appears to disclose these features.

Therefore, the Applicants assert that no combination of the cited references can be created to form the invention as now-recited in independent claims 1 and 7.

As the dependent claim include all of the recitations of their respective parent claims, the dependent claims are believed to be patentably distinguishable over the cited references for the same reasons as those given with respect to their respective parent claims.

9

Application No. 10/777,478 Amendment dated April 14, 2011 Reply to Office Action of February 9, 2011

Accordingly, in light of the forgoing, the Applicants respectfully request reexamination and reconsideration for allowance of the claims, as now-presented.

Respectfully submitted,

/ Robert H. Kelly /

Robert H. Kelly Registration No. 33,922

KELLY & KRAUSE, L. P. 6600 LBJ Freeway, Suite 275 Dallas, Texas 75240 Telephone: (214) 446-6684 Fax: (214) 446-6692